

SCOTT A. STERLING

1-11-06

IT TOOK ME A COUPLE OF TIMES TO UNDERSTAND THE LAST MOTION YOU SENT. I'M SORRY TO SAY THAT I AM VERY DISAPPOINTED THAT YOU DID NOT PUT THE STUFF ON MY ORIGINAL 22.55 ON YOUR NEW PETITION. THE GROUNDS ON MY 22.55 WERE ^{VERY} GOOD AND THERE IS PROOF ON EACH ONE. PLEASE EXPLAIN TO ME WHY YOU ONLY ASKED FOR AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING WITH JUST ONE GROUND? AND NOT MY GROUNDS ON MY ORIGINAL 22.55? ALSO WHAT DO YOU THINK ABOUT THESE GROUNDS I JUST CAME UP WITH.

1. CUYLER V. SULLIVAN,
446 US 335, 348, 64 LEd2d 333, 100 SCT 1708 (1980)
2. ATLEY V. AULT,
191 F3d 865 (8th CIR, 1998)
3. BROWN V. JOHNSON,
224 F3d 461 (5th CIR, 2000)
4. GONZALES V. U.S., ~~33~~
33 F3d 1047 (9th CIR, 1994)
5. FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL
PROCEDURE, 18 U.S.C. § 3552(c)
6. U.S. V. DAVENPORT,
151 F3d 125 (11th CIR 1998)
7. SANCHEZ V. U.S.,
50 F3d 1448 (9th CIR, 1995) "BANDY CLAIM"
8. U.S. V. BUSSE,
814 F.Supp. 760 (C.D. WIS. 1993)

I WAS NEVER GIVEN A COPY OF MY PLEN
 AGREEMENT... U.S. V. RUSSO! I WOULD OF PUT
 THAT ON MY ORIGINAL 22.55 BUT I RECENTLY
 FOUND OUT ABOUT THAT. LOOK MAN, MY FIRST
 ATTORNEY SCAWDED ME AND ITS STARTING TO
 LOOK LIKE THE SAME NOW. I DONT KNOW, I
 GUESS IM JUST CONFUSED. BUT IF YOU DONT
 PLAN ON TRYING TO HELP ME TO YOUR BEST
 CAPABILITY FOR WHATEVER REASON, LET ME
 KNOW SO I CAN TRY TO GET A NEW ATTORNEY.
 I DONT KNOW MAYBE YOU ARE AND IM JUST
 MISSING SOMETHING, BUT PLEASE EXPLAIN TO ME
 WHY YOU DID NOT USE MY GROUNDS ON YOUR
 NEW PETITION AND PLEASE EXPLAIN TO ME A
 LITTLE BETTER ON WHAT IS GOING ON. I DO
 THANK YOU FOR TAKING YOUR TIME ON MY
 CASE AND IM HOPING TO HEAR FROM YOU SOON. AND
 ALSO, I HAVE BEEN TRYING TO CALL YOU BUT
 DO THAT I AM IN THE HOLD, IT SEEMS TO
 BE IMPOSSIBLE. PLEASE CALL MY COUNSELOR MRS. MILLS
 TO SET UP A TIME TO CALL... THANK YOU.
 LYMBRIEL.

P.S.

IF YOU WOULD HAVE TALKED TO ME
 BEFORE YOU FILLED THE NEW PETITION I WOULD
 OF ASKED YOU TO USE THE SAME GROUNDS
 AS IN MY ORIGINAL 22.55...

THANK YOU.